

**From:** Judyhushon <judyhushon@aol.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, September 03, 2018 3:48 PM  
**To:** RLSArestudy  
**Subject:** August 23rd Meeting Comments

1. Cost of infrastructure and burden on taxpayers of Collier County. Most of the burden will fall on coastal residents. Will infrastructure in the east rob the county of funds to complete necessary more coastal projects. Oil Well Road took funds away from other county road projects, and maybe still is because the money the county put out has not been repaid by the developer.

2. No

3. Remain the same. The answer that these land owners are "entitled" seems at odds with providing services to existing county residents to which they are entitled to expect such as roads, sewers, sidewalks, etc.

We need to look at the build-out of eastern Collier along a time line with the boxes representing how available funds will be spent by year. If the first developer has taken all the available funding for 6 years, the next one cannot start until year seven, etc. You are not denying landowners rights, you are just saying we cannot pay for that right now. If they want to build sooner they can front end the expenses and be paid back starting at some point in the future.

Also, In 2002 Collier had 94,498 acres of agriculture and by 2009 this had fallen to 89,397 acres or a loss of 5.4%. In the next nine years it has fallen again. We are definitely not adequately protecting our agricultural lands. The landowners say they will do agriculture until it pays for them to do development. The plan as it is now written is not adequately protecting agriculture. I was surprised other the trucker say that ag was moving east and south but ag in Collier is shrinking is shrinking.